

Compilation Principle 编译原理

第13讲: 语义分析(1)

张献伟

<u>xianweiz.github.io</u>

DCS290, 4/7/2022





Quiz Questions



- Q1: main differences between LL(k) and LR(k)?
 LL(k): top-down, leftmost derivation; LR(k): bottom-up, reverse of rightmost derivation.
- Q2: for the grammar, get FIRST(S) and FIRST(A). FIRST(S) = $\{a\}$, FIRST(A) = $\{a\}$ A $\rightarrow a$
- Q3: is the grammar a LL(1)? $B \rightarrow b$ NO. One-lookahead of *S* is *a*, failing to distinguish the two rules.
- Q4: augment the grammar, and get the initial state (S_0) . {S' \rightarrow .S, S \rightarrow .AB, S \rightarrow .a, A \rightarrow .a }
- Q5: LR(0), SLR(1), LR(1), LALR(1), what are the differences? LR(0): no lookahead, aggressive reduce SLR(1): one lookahead, reduce using FOLLOW LR(1): one lookahead, reduce using specified terminals LALR(1): a compromise of LR(1) and LR(0)/SLR(1)



Compilation Phases[编译阶段]







Compilation Phases (cont.)









2022全国大学生计算机系统能力大赛 编译系统设计赛(华为毕昇杯)





Why Semantic Analysis?[语义分析]

- Because programs use symbols (a.k.a. identifiers)
 Identifiers require context to figure out the meaning
- Consider the English sentence: "He ate it"
 - This sentence is syntactically correct
 - But it makes sense only in the context of a previous sentence: "Sam bought a pizza." (what if "Sam bought a car."?)
- Semantic analysis
 - Associates identifiers with objects they refer to[关联]
 - □ "He" --> "Sam"
 - "it" --> "pizza"
 - Checks whether identifiers are used correctly[检查]
 - "He" and "it" refer to some object: def-use check
 - "it" is a type of object that can be eaten: type check



Why Semantic Analysis (cont.)

- Semantics of a language is much more difficult to describe than syntax[语义比语法更难描述]
 - <u>Syntax</u>: describes the proper form of the programs[仅形式]
 - <u>Semantics</u>: defines what the programs means (i.e., what each program does when it executes)[到意义]
- Context cannot be analyzed using a CFG parser[CFG不能分 析上下文信息]
 - Associating IDs to objects require expressing the pattern: {wcw | w ∈ (a|b)*}
 - The first w represents the definition of a ID
 - The c represents arbitrary intervening code
 - The second w represents the use of the ID



Semantic Analysis

- Deeper check into the source program[对程序进一步分析]
 - Last stage of the front end[前端最后阶段]
 - Compiler's last chance to reject incorrect programs[最后拒绝机会]
 - Verify properties that aren't caught in earlier phases
 - □ Variables are declared before they're used[先声明后使用]
 - □ Type consistency when using IDs[变量类型一致]
 - □ Expressions have the right types[表达式类型]
 - □
- Gather useful info about program for later phases[收集后续 信息]
 - Determine what variables are meant by each identifier
 - Build an internal representation of inheritance hierarchies
 - Count how many variables are in scope at each point



Example





9 https://web.stanford.edu/class/archive/cs/cs143/cs143.1128/lectures/08/Slides08.pdf



Example (cont.)

```
#include <iostream>
                                                     . .
 test.cpp:6:22: error: expected class name
                                                                                           using namespace std;
 class Child : public Base {
                                                                                           //Derived class
                                                                                           class Child : public Base {
 test.cpp:15:8: error: class member cannot be redeclared
                                                                                            string myInteger;
   void doSomething() {
                                                                                            void doSomething() {
                                                                                             int x[] = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\};
         Λ
                                                                                             int z = 'a';
                                                                                             x[5] = myInteger * y * z;
 test.cpp:9:8: note: previous definition is here
   void doSomething() {
                                                                                            void doSomething() {
                                                                                            3
 test.cpp:12:24: error: use of undeclared identifier 'y'
                                                                                            int getSum(int n) {
                                                                                             return doSomething() + n;
     x[5] = myInteger * y * z;
                                                                                           };
 test.cpp:19:26: error: invalid operands to binary expression ('void' and 'int')
     return doSomething() + n;
             NNNNNNNNNNN A N
 4 errors generated.
test.cpp:6:27: error: expected class-name before '{' token
    6 | class Child : public Base {
test.cpp:15:8: error: 'void Child::doSomething()' cannot be overloaded with 'void Child::doSomething()'
          void doSomething() {
   15
                ANNNNNNNNN
test.cpp:9:8: note: previous declaration 'void Child::doSomething()'
    9
          void doSomething() {
                ANNNNNNNNN
test.cpp: In member function 'void Child::doSomething()':
test.cpp:12:24: error: 'v' was not declared in this scope
            x[5] = myInteger * y * z;
   12
test.cpp: In member function 'int Child::getSum(int)':
test.cpp:19:26: error: invalid operands of types 'void' and 'int' to binary 'operator+'
   19
            return doSomething() + n;
                    NNNNNNNNNNN A N
                                void int
```

Semantic Analysis: Implementation

- Attribute grammars[属性文法]
 - One-pass compilation
 - Semantic analysis is done right in the middle of parsing
 - Augment rules to do checking during parsing
 - Approach suggested in the Compilers book
- AST walk[语法树遍历]
 - Two-pass compilation
 - First pass digests the syntax and builds a parse tree
 - The second pass traverses the tree to verify that the program respects all semantic rules
 - Strict phase separation of Syntax Analysis and Semantic Analysis





Syntax Directed Translation[语法制导翻译]







Syntax Directed Translation[语法制导翻译]

- To translate based on the program's syntactic structure[语 法结构]
 - <u>Syntactic structure</u>: structure of a program given by grammar
 - The <u>parsing process and parse trees</u> are used to direct semantic analysis and the translation of the program
 - □ i.e., CFG-driven translation[CFG驱动的翻译]
- How? Augment the grammar used in parser:
 - Attach semantic attributes[语义属性] to each grammar symbol
 - The attributes describe the symbol properties
 - An attribute has a name and an associated value: a string, a number, a type, a memory location, an assigned register ...
 - For each grammar production, give **semantic rules or actions**[语 义规则或动作]
 - The actions describe how to compute the attribute values associated with each symbol in a production



Attributes[语义属性]

- Attributes can represent anything depending on the task[属性可以表示任意含义]
 - If computing expression: a number (value of expression)
 - If building AST: a pointer (pointer to AST for expression)
 - If generating code: a string (assembly code for expression)
 - If type checking: a type (type for expression)
- Format: X.a (X is a symbol, a is one of its attributes)
- For Project 2 Syntax Analysis
 - Semantic attributes
 - Name, type
 - Semantic actions

}



How to Specify Syntax Directed Translation

- Syntax Directed Definitions (SDD)[语法制导定义]
 - Attributes + semantic rules[语义规则]for computing them
 - □ Attributes for grammar symbols[文法符号和语义属性关联]
 - □ Semantic rules for productions[产生式和语义规则关联]
 - Example rules for computing the value of an expression
 - $E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2$ RULE: {E.val = E_1 .val + E_2 .val}
 - E -> id RULE: {E.val = id.lexval}
- Syntax Directed Translation scheme (SDT)[语法制导翻译方案]
 - Attributes + semantic actions[语义动作] for computing them
 - Example actions for computing the value of an expression

 $E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2 \quad \{E.val = E_1.val + E_2.val\}$

 $E \rightarrow id$ {E.val = id.lexval}



SDD vs. SDT

- SDD[语法制导定义]: 是CFG的推广,翻译的高层次规则说明
 - A <u>CFG grammar</u> together with <u>attributes</u> and <u>semantic rules</u>
 A subset of them are also called **attribute grammars**[属性文法]
 - No side effects, i.e., rules are strictly local to each production
 - Semantic rules imply no order to attribute evaluation
- SDT[语法制导翻译方案]: SDD的补充,具体翻译实施方案
 - An executable specification of the SDD
 - Fragments of programs are attached to different points in the production rules

The order of execution is important SDT Grammar SDD D -> T { L.*inh* = T.*type* } L L.inh = T.typeD -> T L T -> int { T.*type* = int } T.type = intT -> int T -> float { T.type = float } T -> float T.*type* = float $L \rightarrow \{ L_1.inh = L.inh \}L_1, id$ L_1 .*inh* = L.*inh* L -> L₁, id

SDD vs. SDT (cont.)

- Syntax: A -> α {action₁} β {action₂} γ ...
- Actions are executed "at that point" in the RHS
 - *action*₁ executes after α has been produced but before β
 - $action_2$ executes after α , $action_1$, β but before γ
- Semantic rule vs. action[语义规则 vs. 语义动作]
 - SDD doesn't impose any order other than dependences
 - Location of action in RHS specifies when it should occur
 SDT specifies the execution order and time of each action

$$\mathsf{A} \to \{ \dots \} \mathsf{X} \{ \dots \} \mathsf{Y} \{ \dots \}$$

Semantic Actions



SDD[语法制导定义]

- SDD has two types of attributes[两种属性]
 - For a non-terminal A at a parse-tree node N
- Synthesized attribute[综合属性]
 - Defined by a semantic rule associated with the production at N
 The production must have A as its head (i.e., A -> ...)
 - A synthesized attribute of node N is defined only by attribute values at N's children and N itself[子节点或自身]
- Inherited attribute[继承属性]
 - Defined by a semantic rule associated with the <u>production at</u> <u>the parent of N</u>
 - The production must have A as a symbol in its body (i.e., ... -> ...A...)
 - An inherited attributed at node N is defined only by attribute values at N's parent, N itself, and N's siblings[父节点、自身或兄弟 节点]





Synthesized Attribute[综合属性]

- Synthesized attribute for <u>non-terminal</u> A of parse-tree node N[非终结符的综合属性]
 - Only defined by N's children and N itself
 - Passed up the tree
 - P.syn_attr = f(P.attrs, C₁.attrs, C₂.attrs, C₃.attrs)
- <u>Terminals</u> can have synthesized attributes[终结符综合属性]
 - Lexical values supplied by the lexical analysis
 - Thus, no semantic rules in SDD for terminals







Inherited Attribute[继承属性]

- Inherited attribute for non-terminal A of parse-tree node N[非终结符继承属性]
 - Only defined by N's parent, N's siblings and N itself
 - Passed down a parse tree
 - $C_2.inh_attr = f(P.attrs, C_1.attrs, C_2.attrs, C_3.attrs)$
- Terminals cannot have inherited attributes[终结符无继承属 性]
 - Only synthesized attributes from lexical analysis



SDD[语法制导定义]

• Attribute dependencies in a production rule[产生式中的属性依赖]

Synthesized

- SDD has rule of the form for each grammar production b = f(A.attrs, α.attrs, β.attrs, γ.attrs)
- *b* is either an attribute in LHS (an attribute of A)

Ω

- In which case *b* is a **synthesized** attribute
- Why? From A's perspective α , β , γ are children
- Or, *b* is an attribute in RHS (e.g., of β)
 - In which case b is an inherited attribute
 - Why? From β 's perspective A, α , γ are parent or siblings



Example: Synthesized Attribute[综合]

SDD:

Production Rules	Semantic Rules
(1) L -> E	print(E. <i>val</i>)
(2) E -> E ₁ + T	$E.val = E_1.val + T.val$
(3) E -> T	E. <i>val</i> = T. <i>val</i>
(4) T -> T ₁ * F	$T.val = T_1.val \times F.val$
(5) T -> F	T.val = F.val
(6) F -> (E)	F.val = E.val
(7) F -> digit	F. <i>val</i> = digit. <i>lexval</i>

Each non-terminal has a single synthesized attribute val Terminal *digit* has a synthesized attribute lexval

Arithmetic expressions with + and *

- (1) Print the numerical value of the entire expression
- (2) Compute value of summation
- (3) Value copy
- (4) Compute value of multiplication
- (5) Value copy
- (6) Value copy



