

# Compilation Principle 编译原理

第2讲: 词法分析(2)

### 张献伟

<u>xianweiz.github.io</u>

DCS290, 3/4/2021





#### **Review Questions**

Q1: input and output of lexical analysis?

character stream  $\rightarrow$  tokens

Q2: how to denote a token?

<class, lexeme>

Q3: atomic and compound REs? atomic: ε, {a} compound: R1|R2, R1R2, R1\*

Q4: (+|-)?([0-9])\*(0|2|4|6|8) even numbers

Q5: RE of identifiers in C language?

(\_letter)(\_letter|digit)\*



#### Alphabet Operations[字母表运算]

- Product[乘积]: ∑<sub>1</sub> ∑<sub>2</sub> = {ab | a ∈ ∑<sub>1</sub>, b ∈ ∑<sub>2</sub>}
   E.g., {0, 1}{a, b} = {0a, 0b, 1a, 1b}
- Power[幂]:  $\sum^{n} = \sum^{n-1} \sum_{i} n \ge 1$ ;  $\sum^{0} = \{\epsilon\}$ 
  - Set of strings of length n
  - $\{0, 1\}^3 = \{0, 1\}\{0, 1\}\{0, 1\} = \{000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111\}$
- Positive Closure[正闭包]: ∑<sup>+</sup> = ∑ ∪ ∑<sup>2</sup> ∪ ∑<sup>3</sup> ∪ …
   {a, b, c}+ = {a, b, c, aa, ab, ac, ba, bb, bc, ca, cb, cc, aaa, aab, …}
- Kleene Closure[闭包]: ∑<sup>=</sup>∑<sup>0</sup> ∪ ∑<sup>+</sup>





## **Regular Expressions**

- Atomic[原子]
  - $-\epsilon$  is a RE: L( $\epsilon$ ) = { $\epsilon$ }
  - If  $a \in \Sigma$ , then a is a RE: L(a) = {a}
- Compound[组合]
  - If both r and s are REs, corr. to languages L(r) and L(s), then:
  - -r|s is a RE: L(r|s) = L(r) U L(s)
  - rs is a RE: L(rs) = L(r)L(s)
  - r\* is a RE: L(r\*) = (L(r))\*
  - (r) is a RE: L((r)) = L(r)



## Different REs of the Same Language



# Impl. of Lexical Analyzer[实现]

- How do we go from specification to implementation?
   RE → finite automata
- Solution 1: to implement using a tool Lex (for C), Flex (for C++), Jlex (for java)
  - Programmer specifies tokens using REs
  - The tool generates the source code from the given REs
    - □ The Lex tool essentially does the following translation: REs (Specification)
       ⇒ FAs (Implementation)
- Solution 2: to write the code yourself
  - More freedom; even tokens not expressible through REs
  - But difficult to verify; not self-documenting; not portable; usually not efficient
  - Generally not encouraged



## Transition Diagram[转换图]

- REs  $\rightarrow$  transition diagrams
  - By hand
  - Automatic



- Node[节点]: state
  - Each state represents a condition that may occur in the process
  - Initial state (Start): only one, circle marked with 'start  $\rightarrow$ '
  - Final state (Accepting): may have multiple, double circle
- Edge[边]: directed, labeled with symbol(s)
  - From one state to another on the input



#### Finite Automata[有穷自动机]

- **Regular Expression** = specification[正则表达是定义]
- Finite Automata = implementation[自动机是实现]
- Automaton (pl. automata): a machine or program
- Finite automaton (FA): a program with a finite number of states
- Finite Automata are similar to transition diagrams
  - They have states and labelled edges
  - There are one unique start state and one or more than one final states



## FA: Language

- An FA is a program for classifying strings (accept, reject)
  - In other words, a program for recognizing a language
  - The Lex tool essentially does the following translation: REs (Specification) ⇒ FAs (Implementation)
  - For a given string 'x', if there is transition sequence for 'x' to move from start state to certain accepting state, then we say 'x' is accepted by the FA
- Language of FA = set of strings accepted by that FA
   L(FA) ≡ L(RE)





## Example

- Are the following strings acceptable?
  - 0 – 1
  - 11110 🗸
  - 11101 X
  - 11100 X
  - 1111110 🗸
- What language does the state graph recognize? ∑ = {0, 1}
   Any number of '1's followed by a single 0







## DFA and NFA

- Deterministic Finite Automata (DFA): the machine can exist in only one state at any given time[确定]
  - One transition per input per state
  - No ε-moves
  - Takes only one path through the state graph
- Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA): the machine can exist in multiple states at the same time[非确定]
  - Can have multiple transitions for one input in a given state
  - Can have ε-moves
  - Can choose which path to take
    - An NFA accepts if some of these paths lead to accepting state at the end of input



### State Graph

- 5 components  $(\Sigma, S, n, F, \delta)$ 
  - An input alphabet  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$
  - A set of states S
  - A start state  $n \in S$
  - A set of accepting states  $F \subseteq S$  (
  - A set of transitions  $\delta: S_a \xrightarrow{\text{input}} S_b$



а



## Example: DFA

- There is only one possible sequence of moves --- either lead to a final state and accept or the input string is rejected
  - Input string: aabb







### Example: NFA

- There are many possible moves: to accept a string, we only need one sequence of moves that lead to a final state
  - Input string: aabb





- Unsuccessful sequence:  $0 \xrightarrow{a} 0 \xrightarrow{b} 0 \xrightarrow{b} 0 \xrightarrow{b} 0$ 





#### Conversion Flow[转换流程]

- Outline: RE  $\rightarrow$  NFA  $\rightarrow$  DFA  $\rightarrow$  Table-driven Implementation
  - Converting DFAs to table-driven implementations
  - Converting REs to NFAs
  - Converting NFAs to DFAs







#### DFA $\rightarrow$ Table

• FA can also be represented using transition table

16



```
Table-driven Code:
DFA() {
   state = "S";
   while (!done) {
      ch = fetch_input();
      state = Table[state][ch];
      if (state == "x")
         print("reject");
   if (state \in F)
      printf("accept");
   else
      printf("reject");
    Q: which is/are accepted?
        111
       000
       001
```

#### Discussion

- Implementation is efficient[表格是一种高效实现]
  - Table can be automatically generated
  - Need finite memory  $O(S \times \Sigma)$ 
    - Size of transition table
  - Need finite time O(input length)
    - Number of state transitions
- Pros and cons of table[表格实现的优劣]
  - Pro: can easily find the transitions on a given state and input
  - Con: takes a lot of space, when the input alphabet is large, yet most states do not have any moves on most of the input symbols



# $\mathsf{RE} \rightarrow \mathsf{NFA}$

- NFA can have ε-moves
  - Edges labelled with ε
  - move from state A to state B without reading any input



- M-Y-T algorithm to convert any RE to an NFA that defines the same language
  - Input: RE r over alphabet ∑
  - Output: NFA accepting L(r)





# $\mathsf{RE} \rightarrow \mathsf{NFA}$ (cont.)

- Step 1: processing atomic REs
  - -εexpression[空]



□ *i* is a new state, the start state of NFA

□ *f* is another new state, the accepting state of NFA

- Single character RE a[单字符]





# $\mathsf{RE} \rightarrow \mathsf{NFA}$ (cont.)

• Step 2: processing compound REs[组合] - R = R<sub>1</sub> | R<sub>2</sub>



 $-R = R_1R_2$ 







# $RE \rightarrow NFA$ (cont.)

Step 2: processing compound REs
 - R = R<sub>1</sub>\*







#### Example

Convert "(a | b)\*abb" to NFA









# Example (cont.)

Convert "(a | b)\*abb" to NFA







# Example (cont.)

Convert "(a b)\*abb" to NFA







#### NFA → DFA: Same[等价]

• NFA and DFA are equivalent









# NFA → DFA: Theory[相关理论]

- Question: is  $L(NFA) \subseteq L(DFA)$ ?
  - Otherwise, conversion would be futile
- Theorem:  $L(NFA) \equiv L(DFA)$ 
  - Both recognize regular languages L(RE)
  - Will show L(NFA)  $\subseteq$  L(DFA) by construction (NFA  $\rightarrow$  DFA)
  - Since  $L(DFA) \subseteq L(NFA)$ ,  $L(NFA) \equiv L(DFA)$
- Resulting DFA consumes more memory than NFA
  - Potentially larger transition table as shown later
- But DFAs are faster to execute
  - For DFAs, number of transitions == length of input
  - For NFAs, number of potential transitions can be larger
- NFA  $\rightarrow$  DFA conversion is done because the speed of DFA far outweigh its extra memory consumption

